UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
EDWARD PITRE,	
Plaintiff,	JOINT PROPOSED VERDICT FORM
- against -	
THE CITY OF NEW YORK, FIRE DEPARTMENT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, JAN BORODO, individually, and JOSEPH M. MASTROPIETRO, individually,	18-CV-5950 (DC) ¹
Defendants.	
X	
VERDICT FORM	
All jurors must agree on the answers to all questions. Pleas fill in the blanks.	e CHECK (🗸) your answers and
Plaintiff's proposed verdict sheet	
SHRL/CHRL DISABILITY DISCRIP	<u>MINATION</u>
Question 1	
Did Defendants unlawfully deny Mr. Pitre a reasonable accom	amodation for his disability?
YES NO	
PROCEED TO QUESTION 2.	
<u>FMLA INTERFERENCE</u> <u>Question 2</u>	

¹ The parties have highlighted points of disagreement as follows: language that defendants object to is italicized and language that plaintiff objects to is underlined.

Did Defendants deny Mr. Leave Act?	. Pitre medical led	we he was entitled to under the Fam	ily and Medical
	YES		
IF THIS ANSWER WA "NO" PROCEED TO Q		ED TO QUESTION 3. IF THE AN	ISWER WAS
Question 3			
Was Defendants' denial of Mr. Pitre's rights under t		Mr. Pitre willful, or done with reckl	ess indifference to
	YES		
	<u>FML</u>	A RETALIATION	
Question 4 Did Defendants retaliate under the FMLA?	against Mr. Pitre	because he requested or took leave	he was entitled to
	YES	NO	
IF THIS ANSWER WA. "NO" PROCEED TO Q		ED TO QUESTION 5. IF THIS A	NSWER WAS
Question 5			
Was Defendants' retaliat done with reckless indiffe		itre for requesting or taking FMLA i e's FMLA rights?	leave willful or
	YES		
PLEASE PROCEED TO	O QUESTION 6.		

<u>RACE DISCRIMINATION</u>

Question 6

Did Defendants unlawfully o	discriminate aga	ainst Mr. Pitre based on his race?	
	YES	NO	
PROCEED TO QUESTION	V 6.		
<u>C</u>	HRL HOSTILE	E WORK ENVIRONMENT	
Question 6			
Did Defendants subject Mr.		le work environment based on his disabil	ity or race?
PROCEED TO QUESTION	N 7.		
	SHRL/CH	<u>RL RETALIATION</u>	
Question 7 Did Defendants unlawfully reasonable accommodation		t Mr. Pitre for his 2011 lawsuit, or his red	quests for
	YES	NO	
	DREPERSON SI	E QUESTIONS ABOVE, YOUR DELIB HOULD SIGN THE LAST PAGE AND	
IF YOU ANSWERED "YE TO QUESTION 8.	'S" TO ANY OF	F THE QUESTIONS ABOVE, PLEASE	PROCEED
Question 8 (a) What is the a	mount you awar	rd for Mr. Pitre's emotional distress?	
	\$		

	(b) What is the amount you award for Mr. Pitre's economic damages?
	\$
	(c) What is the amount you award to Mr. Pitre in punitive damages against Defendants Borodo and Mastropietro?
	\$
THE V	VAVE REACHED A VERDICT. THE FOREPERSON SHOULD DATE AND SIGN ERDICT FORM, PLACE IT IN A SEALED ENVELOPE MARKED "VERDICT" AND IT TO THE MARSHALL.
	Your foreperson must now sign and date the verdict sheet.
	Signature of Foreperson

DEFENDANTS PROPOSED VERDICT SHEET²

SHRL/CHRL DISABILITY DISCRIMINATION

Question 1

<u>Did Plaintiff prove by a preponderance of the credible evidence that any of the below Defendants unlawfully discriminated against him based on his disability?</u>

City of New York:	YES	NO
Jan Borodo:	YES	NO
Joseph M. Mastropietro:	YES	NO

PROCEED TO QUESTION 2.

FMLA INTERFERENCE³

Question 2

Did	plaintiff	prove b	y a	prepon	derance	of th	e credib	e evic	dence	that a	any (of the	below	Defe	ndants
inte	rfered wit	<u>th his ri</u>	ghts	s under	the Far	nily a	nd Medi	cal Le	eave A	Act?	•				

City of New York:	YES	NO	
· ·			

Plaintiff notes that Defendants cite to no authority that combining defendants is improper, because it is not, (it simplifies the verdict sheet for the jurors reducing the likelihood of confusion and hung jury, and eliminates instructions that are properly contained in the jury instructions. Plaintiff also notes that he offered to review and revise subject to an additional one-day proposed extension, and that Defendants sent their draft to Plaintiff 6:17 PM today leaving Plaintiff no time to respond to Defendants' proposed revisions, and declined to respond to offers to discuss over the phone to resolve discrepancies earlier in the day or seek an extension of another day to do so.

² Defendants note that the verdict sheets could not be combined to be filed jointly because Plaintiff provided Defendants with his proposals to the verdict sheet at 5:17 p.m. today, leaving Defendants with no time to meaningfully review Plaintiff's proposals before the end of the workday. Additionally, Defendants note that Plaintiff's proposed verdict sheet improperly combines the claims against all Defendants.

³ Defendants submit that plaintiff's FMLA claims are time barred and should not proceed to trial.

Jan Borodo:	YES	NO
Y 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	A I I I I	
Joseph M. Mastropietro:	YES	NO

PROCEED TO QUESTION 3.

FMLA RETALIATION

Question 3

<u>Did plaintiff prove by a preponderance of the credible evidence that any of the below Defendants retaliated against him because he engaged in Family and Medical Leave Act protected activity?</u>

City of New York:	YES	NO
Jan Borodo:	YES	NO
Joseph M. Mastropietro:	YES	NO

PLEASE PROCEED TO QUESTION 4.

RACE DISCRIMINATION

Question 4

Did Plaintiff Pitre prove by a preponderance of the credible evidence that any of the below Defendants unlawfully discriminated against him based on his race?

YES	NO
YES	NO
	
YES	NO
	YES_

PROCEED TO QUESTION 5.

CHRL HOSTILE WORK ENVIRONMENT

Question 5

Did plaintiff prove by a preponderance of the credible evidence that he was subjected to a hostile
work environment based on disability by any of the Defendants listed below??

City of New York:	YES	NO
Jan Borodo:	YES	NO
Joseph M. Mastropietro:	YES	NO

PROCEED TO QUESTION 6.

Question 6

Did plaintiff prove by a preponderance of the credible evidence that he was subjected to a hostile work environment based on race by any of the Defendants listed below?

City of New York:	YES	NO
Jan Borodo:	YES	<u>NO</u>
Joseph M. Mastropietro:	YES	NO

PROCEED TO QUESTION 7.

SHRL/CHRL RETALIATION

Question 7

Did Plaintiff prove by a preponderance of the credible evidence that any of the below listed Defendants unlawfully retaliated against him for engaging in protected activity?

City of New York:	YES	NO
Jan Borodo:	YES	NO
Joseph M. Mastropietro:	YES	NO

IF YOU ANSWERED "NO" TO ALL THE QUESTIONS ABOVE, YOUR DELIBERATIONS ARE FINISHED. THE FOREPERSON SHOULD SIGN THE LAST PAGE AND RETURN THE VERDICT FORM TO THE COURT.

<u>IF YOU ANSWERED "YES" TO ANY OF THE QUESTIONS ABOVE, PLEASE PROCEED TO QUESTION 8.</u>

Question 8
Did Plaintiff prove by a preponderance of the evidence that he suffered compensatory damages
as a result of any Defendants' unlawful conduct?
Yes No
If you answered "YES," continue to Question 9(a) and 9(b), and skip Question 9(c). If you
answered "NO," skip Question 9(a) and 9(b) and continue to Question 9(c).
Question 9
(a) If you answered "Yes" to Question 8, state the dollar amount of emotional distress damages Plaintiff has proven that she sustained that were proximately caused by
defendant(s):
\$
(b) If you answered "Yes" to Question 8, state the dollar amount of economic damages Plaintiff has proven that she sustained that were proximately caused by defendant(s):
<u>\$</u>
(c) If you answered "No" to Question 8, state the amount of nominal damages to be awarded to the Plaintiff not to exceed one dollar:
<u>\$</u>
YOU HAVE REACHED A VERDICT. THE FOREPERSON SHOULD DATE AND SIGN THE VERDICT FORM, PLACE IT IN A SEALED ENVELOPE MARKED "VERDICT" AND HAND IT TO THE MARSHALL.
Your foreperson must now sign and date the verdict sheet.
Signature of Forenerson